KASCADE-Grande: Constrophysical results and tests of hadronic interaction models

Andreas Haungs

haungs@ik.fzk.de

Cosmic Rays around the knee: What is the origin of the knee?







What is the origin of the knee?



September 2005 - C2CR, Prague

Andreas Haungs - KASCADE-Grande Collaboration





KASCADE-Grande = <u>KA</u>rlsruhe <u>Shower Core and Array DE</u>tector + Grande and LOPES

Measurements of air showers in the energy range $E_0 = 100 \text{ TeV} - 1 \text{ EeV}$

Concept KASCADE-Grande

- Measure shower parameters as much as possible
- Multi-detector system to get redundant information

■ Disententanglement of the threefold problem: E, A, interaction

CICA 2005

A.Haungs

September 2005 - C2CR, Prague

Andreas Haungs - KASCADE-Grande Collaboration

KASCADE : multi-parameter measurements

- energy range 100 TeV 80 PeV
- up to 2003: 4.10⁷ EAS triggers
- large number of observables:
 - → electrons
 - → muons (@ 4 threshold energies)
 - → hadrons

KASCADE :

Array: electrons muons (230 MeV) <u>Tunnel:</u> muon tracking (800 MeV)

Central Detector: hadron calorimeter (hadrons, 50 GeV) trigger plane (muons, 490 MeV) muon chambers, LST (muons, 2.4 GeV)

Eventdisplay KASCADE Calorimeter

EAS shower core

"single hadron"

observables: energy, position and direction for each reconstructed hadron

September 2005 - C2CR, Prague

KASCADE :

Observables per <u>single air-shower</u> !!

from detector array:

- shower direction Θ , ϕ
- shower core X_0, Y_0
- shower size N_e
- truncated (40m-200m) muon number N^{tr}
- lateral particle distribution s, R_m

from calorimeter:

- number of reconstructed hadrons
 (E_h>100GeV) N_h*
- sum of the reconstructed hadronic energy E_h*
- energy of the leading hadron E_h^{max}
- parameters of the spatial hadron distributions λ ,....

from MWPC-LST-system:

- number of reconstructed muons (E_µ>2.4GeV) N_µ*
- local muon density ρ_{μ}^{*}
- parameters of hit pattern: multifractal moments D_6 , D_{-6}

from Muon Tracking Detector:

- number of reconstructed muons (E_{μ}>800MeV) N_{μ}^{mtd} and ρ_{μ}^{mtd}
- angel of muons: tangential and

radial angle: τ_{μ} , ρ_{μ}

Analysis of large scale anisotropy of cosmic rays:

Anisotropy: different astrophysical models for the origin of the knee can be distinguished by their predictions of anisotropy

KASCADE (2004) Astrophysical Journal 604 p687

Search for point sources of cosmic rays:

Point sources: not expected at these energies, bur it have to be checked. Muon poor events is a sample enriched by possible gamma induced showers.

all events declination [deg] 00 02 02 03 04 08 2 2 2 significance ---- muon poor events number of bins 50 n 10 40 -1 -2 30 visible sky 10 -3 20 -4 1 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 0 right ascension [deg] -2 -4 significance σ

Li-Ma significances

KASCADE (2004) Astrophysical Journal 608, p.865

Results: no positive signal from point sources

E₀>10^{14.5}eV

Search for primary photons (diffuse Gamma-ray flux):

Primary photons: point directly to the source of cosmic rays air-showers are muon-poor, i.e. small ratio of muon to electron number

paper in preparation

KASCADE: energy spectra of single mass groups

Measurement: KASCADE array data 900 days; 0-18° zenith angle 0-91m core distance Ig N_e > 4.8; Ig N_µ^{tr} > 3.6 → 685868 events

 $\begin{tabular}{l} \hline Searched: \\ \hline E \mbox{ and } A \mbox{ of the Cosmic Ray Particles } \\ \hline Given: \\ \hline N_e \mbox{ and } N_\mu \mbox{ for each single event } \\ \hline \end{tabular}$

solve the inverse problem

$$g(y) = \int K(y,x) p(x) dx$$

KASCADE result: sensitivity to hadronic interaction models

same unfolding but based on two different interaction models: SIBYLL 2.1 and QGSJET01 (both with GHEISHA 2002) and meanwhile also QGSJET II (with FLUKA)

KASCADE collaboration, Astroparticle Physics 24 (2005) 1-25

KASCADE result: influence on hadronic interaction model

SIBYLL

QGSJet

<u>Main results keep stable independent of method or model:</u> -) knee caused by light primaries -) positions of knee vary with primary elemental group

-) no (interaction) model can describe the data consistently

KASCADE data analyses: shower observable correlations

correlation of observables:

no hadronic interaction model describes data consistently !

- tests and tuning of hadronic interaction models !
- → close co-operation with model builders

KASCADE: further model tests?

<u>influence of model parameters!</u> example: Corsika/QGSJet01/Fluka: change of cross-section and inelasticity

Results:

-) EAS observables change with modifications – but complex dependencies

J. Hörandel - KASCADE-Grande, ICRC05, Pune

KASCADE: further model tests?

J. Zabierowski - KASCADE-Grande, ICRC05, Pune

due to larger distances)

F Model tests at KASCADE by muon density measurements:

model sensitive parameters:

$$\begin{array}{l} R_{\rho}^{2.4/0.49} = \rho_{\mu}^{2.4 GeV} \ / \ \rho_{\mu}^{0.49 GeV} \\ R_{\rho}^{2.4/0.23} = \rho_{\mu}^{2.4 GeV} \ / \ \rho_{\mu}^{0.23 GeV} \\ R_{\rho}^{0.49/0.23} = \rho_{\mu}^{0.49 GeV} \ / \ \rho_{\mu}^{0.23 GeV} \end{array}$$

September 2005 - C2CR, Prague

Andreas Haungs - KASCADE-Grande Collaboration

Muon density ratio as model sensitive parameter:

distribution of the muon density ratio for a certain range in distance and total EAS muon number. R_ρ were found to be insensitive
-to total muon number
-to the slope of the primary energy spectrum
-and nearly independent on composition
(investigation of subsamples)

investigated observables: mean and rms of R_{ρ} vs. primary energy R_{ρ} vs. core distance

September 2005 - C2CR, Prague

SS 23

F Model sensitivity?

Are there differences in the models, even after full simulations of the detector response?

example: mean of R_{o} vs. primary energy for different model combinations.

Muon density measurements at KASCADE as model test:

Results in terms of the muon energy spectrum in EAS: -deviation between measurements and predictions increases with energy -large deviations in the width of the distributions (shower to shower fluctuations)

A.Haungs - KASCADE-Grande, ICRC05, Pune

Model tests at KASCADE: That's not enough! ->

Help from Accelerator Experiments ?

future: present: **HERA:** parton density measurements **HERA-B:** particle production in p-C →important for multiplicity and crosscollisions section extrapolations, leading baryon of high-energies flux) **TEVATRON:** high p_t - jets →hadron distributions at large Feynman x **RHIC: nucleus-nucleus interactions** → particle densities, multiplicities \rightarrow C or N targets HARP, NA49: GeV-p, π ,K $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ nucleus → particle multiplicities in the full phase space development)

- → constraints for EAS simulations (e.g. muon LHC: cross sections at high energies → dedicated experiments to forward physics **RHIC: nucleus-nucleus interactions** NA49 or other low energy experiments: $\rightarrow \pi$ – interactions (dominate the EAS
- measurements of properties of (forward) hadron production in EAS and at accelerators
 - → closer collaboration of cosmic ray and high energy physics communities.

see also NEEDS-workshop (http://www-ik.fzk.de/~needs) and XII ISVHECRI, Geneve 2003

Motivation for KASCADE-Grande

September 2005 - C2CR, Prague

27

KASCADE-Grande : multi-parameter measurements

KASCADE-Grande : Status

KASCADE-Grande : first analyses

Unfolding of 2-dimensional shower size spectrum possible → composition

September 2005 - C2CR, Prague

Model test at KASCADE-Grande by muon density measurements:

model sensitive parameters:

R	2.4/0.49	$= \rho_{\mu}^{2.4\text{Ge}}$	V / $\rho_{\mu}^{0.49 \text{GeV}}$
R	2.4/0.23	$= \rho_{\mu}^{2.4Ge}$	$^{\rm V}$ / $\rho_{\mu}^{0.23 {\rm GeV}}$
R	0.49/0.23	$\rho = \rho_{\mu}^{0.49G}$	$^{eV}/\rho_{\mu}^{0.23GeV}$

consistency check possible up to 10¹⁸ eV primary energy and 800 m core distance !

31

KASCADE-Grande : Summary

Single element spectra reconstruction is possible by EAS measurements (KASCADE)
Knee is caused by light primary elements, cosmic rays are isotropic around the knee
Data distributions are not consistent with Monte Carlo predictions

→ Correlation analyses of KASCADE-Grande data have to be continued

→ Interaction models have to be further improved

•KASCADE-Grande will cover whole ,,knee" range to find the ,,iron"-knee ! •Radio detection as new technique for UHECR measurements ?

September 2005 - C2CR, Prague

KASCADE-Grande Collaboration

Universität Siegen Experimentelle Teilchenphysik M. Brüggemann, P. Buchholz, C. Grupen, Y. Kolotaev, S.Over, W. Walkowiak, D. Zimmermann,

Institut für Kernphysik Forschungszentrum and University of Karlsruhe

T. Antoni, W.D. Apel, F. Badea, K. Bekk, H. Blümer, H. Bozdog, K. Daumiller, P. Doll, R. Engel, J. Engler, F. Feßler, H.J. Gils, A. Haungs, D. Heck, J.R. Hörandel, H.O. Klages, G. Maier, H.-J. Mathes, H.J. Mayer, C. Meurer, J. Milke, M. Müller, R. Obenland, J. Oehlschläger, S. Ostapchenko, T. Pierog,
S. Plewnia, H. Rebel, M. Roth, H. Schieler, J. Scholz, M.Stümpert, H. Ulrich, J. van Buren, A. Weindl, J. Wochele, S. Zagromski

KASCADE-Grande : Radio shower detection

•deflection of electron-positron pairs in the Earth's magnetic field
→ coherent emission at low frequencies

with radio detection
> see shower development
> observe 24 hrs/day

LOPES collaboration: -) KASCADE-Grande -) U Nijmegen, NL -) MPIfR Bonn, D -) Astron, NL -) IPE, FZK, D

- 30 dipole antennas at KASCADE-Grande
- calibration of radio emission
- theory of radio emission and implementation in CORSIKA
- improvement/optimisation hardware (for application in Auger)

electron

~2/7

positron

LOPES : Radio shower detection

September 2005 - C2CR, Prague

F

Badea et al. – LOPES collaboration, ICRC (2005) Pune, India

LOPES : Analyses of inclined events

 $\frac{\text{Event:}}{\Phi = 74,4^{\circ}}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Phi=74,4^\circ & \theta=68^\circ \\ \text{core}=\text{outside} \\ \text{lg}(N_{e})\sim6\ ? & \text{lg}(N_{\mu})\sim5.7\ ? \\ \text{but clear radio signal }!! \end{array}$

-reconstruction of shower
by particle detectors difficult
-clear radio signals seen
-Grande reconstruction !

Petrovic et al. – LOPES collaboration, ICRC (2005) Pune, India

