

Flavour Physics 4

Flavour and CP beyond the Standard Model

Thomas Mannel

Theoretische Physik I Universität Siegen

CERN School 2007

Contents

- 1 General Remarks on Models
 - Two Higgs Doublet Models
 - Supersymmetry
- 2 Grand Unification and Flavour
 - Simple $SU(5)$: Bottom- τ Unification
 - Remarks on Left-Right Symmetry
- 3 Minimal Flavour Violation
 - Quarks
 - Leptons
- 4 Simple Examples
 - Guesses for Mass Matrices
 - Frogatt Nielsen Models

General Remarks

- Currently there is no convincing idea for “Flavour”, which allows for a **quantitative analysis**.
- Currently there is no indication of flavour violation **beyond CKM**.
- Any “New Physics” Scenario involves additional degrees of freedom
- → **More coupling constants, potentially complex**
- **Additional CP violation, Additional FCNC's**
- **Generically also CP violation in the flavour diagonal sector**

- **Horizontal Symmetry or Flavour Symmetry:**
 - Put Quarks and Leptons of different Families into Multiplets
 - Discrete and Continuous symmetries have been discussed
 - Symmetry must be broken: Goldstone Modes?
 - → Gauged Horizontal Symmetry?
- Most of BSM ideas do not have much to say about Flavour
- **Often a simple triplication**

Two Higgs Doublet Models

- Two $SU(2)$ Doublets:

$$\Phi^{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+^{(i)} \\ \phi_0^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} \quad i = 1, 2$$

- Charge Conjugate Fields $\tilde{\Phi}^{(i)}$: also $SU(2)$ Doublets
- Two neutral Scalars H_1^0 and H_2^0 , One Pseudoscalar H_3^0 and a pair of charged Bosons H^\pm
- General Yukawa Couplings:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = & - \sum_{ij} \left[y_{ij}^{(1)} \bar{Q}_i \Phi^{(1)} u_{R,j} + z_{ij}^{(1)} \bar{Q}_i \tilde{\Phi}^{(1)} d_{R,j} \right. \\ & \left. + y_{ij}^{(2)} \bar{Q}_i \Phi^{(2)} u_{R,j} + z_{ij}^{(2)} \bar{Q}_i \tilde{\Phi}^{(2)} d_{R,j} \right] \end{aligned}$$

- Mass Matrices:

$$\mathcal{M}_u = \mathbf{y}^{(1)} + \mathbf{y}^{(2)} \quad \mathcal{M}_u = \mathbf{z}^{(1)} + \mathbf{z}^{(2)}$$

- Diagonalization This does not diagonalize $\mathbf{y}^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{y}^{(2)}$, $\mathbf{z}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{z}^{(2)}$ individually!
- Neutral Higgses have tree-level FCNC Interactions
- → “most” 2HDM’s have problems with data
- Type I: Only one of the Higgs doublets couples to quarks: $\mathbf{z}^{(2)} = \mathbf{y}^{(2)} = 0$
- Type II: One doublet couples only to up-type quarks, the other only to down type quarks: $\mathbf{z}^{(1)} = \mathbf{y}^{(2)} = 0$
 → Supersymmetry

- Possibility of Spontaneous CP Violation: e.g. Branco
- Higgs Potential can be chosen such that the two VEV's acquire a relative phase:

$$v_1 = \text{real} \quad v_2 = |v_2| e^{i\delta}$$

- Induces in general also CP violation in the neutral sector: **EDM Problem!**

Flavour Sector of Supersymmetric Models

- “Soft breaking” of SUSY generates in general many new sources of flavour violation
- general SUSY Model: ~ 120 Flavour Parameters
- 44 CP violating Parameters
- Creates many Problems, e.g.:
 - **Supersymmetric ϵ Problem:**
(too) big contributions from Quark-Squark mixing:
Imaginary part of $K - \bar{K}$ Mxing: Factor 10^4 too big.
 - **blue Supersymmetric EDM Problem:**
(too) big contributions e.g. to the electric dipole moment of the e^-

$$d_{\text{SUSY}}^e \sim 10^{-25} e \text{ cm} \quad d_{\text{exp}}^e \leq 1.6 \times 10^{-27} e \text{ cm}$$

Grand Unification and Flavour

- Usually GUT's are formulated for one family:
→ triplication to obtain the family structure
- Leptons and Quarks are in one multiplet:
Relations between Lepton- and Quark Masses are possible
- GUT's including a family symmetry have often also Higgs fields with family quantum numbers:
→ Several neutral Higgs fields,
→ Problems with FCNC's

Bottom- τ Unification in simple $SU(5)$

- 15 Fermions of one family:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 u_L \ u_L \ u_L \ d_L \ d_L \ d_L \ e_L \ \nu_L \\
 u_R \ u_R \ u_R \ d_R \ d_R \ d_R \ e_R
 \end{array}$$

- Grouping into $SU(5)$ Multiplets: $\bar{5}$ (and 10)

$$\psi_L = ((d_R^C), (d_R^C), (d_R^C), e_L, -\nu_L)_L$$

- Relevant for Flavour Physics:
 Down Quark and Lepton in the same multiplett
- Simplest Higgs Sector (Only a single Higgs Multiplett)

$$m_b = m_\tau \quad m_s = m_\mu \quad m_d = m_e$$

- Renormalization Group Running:

$$(m_b = m_\tau @ \mu = M_{\text{GUT}}) \longrightarrow (m_b \approx 3m_\tau @ \mu = M_W)$$

- This is a reasonable Prediction!
- ... but only for the third generation.
- Avoid the “bad prediction” for the first and second generation and keep the “good prediction” for the third:
More complicated Higgs sector ...

Remarks on Left-Right Symmetry

- Left-Right Symmetry: Discrete Symmetry $L \leftrightarrow R$
- (Electroweak) Left-handed Doublets \rightarrow
Right-handed Doublets: $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$
- Example: $SO(10)$ GUT: two ways to produce the SM:
 - $SO(10) \rightarrow SU(5) \times U(1) \rightarrow SM$
 - $SO(10) \rightarrow SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L} \rightarrow SM$
- $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$: Pati-Salam Model
- Resembles our $L \leftrightarrow R$ Ansatz for the SM

- Right-handed up and down Quarks are in the same multiplet:
 - Custodial $SU(2)$ Symmetry
 - No mixing between the families
 - Equal up and down masses
- Spontaneous breaking of custodial $SU(2) =$
Spontaneous breaking of custodial $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$
- Yields a large mass for the right handed Neutrino:
See Saw

Minimal Flavour Violation

- Flavour Violation of TeV “new physics” must be very close to one of the Standard Model
- **Concept of “minimal flavour violation”:**
All Flavour Violation (and CP violation) is CKM like
(D’Ambrosio et al. ’02, Ciuchini et al. ’98, Buras et al. ’00)
- More precise definition
D’Ambrosio et al., hep-ph/0207036
- **Leptonic Sector has also been considered as well**
Grinstein et al., hep-ph/0507001, hep-ph/0601111
- Standard Model is Minimally Flavour Violating per definition

Flavour Symmetry: Quarks

- Largest Quark Flavour Symmetry commuting with the Gauge Group of the Standard Model

$$G_F = SU(3)_{Q_L} \times SU(3)_{U_R} \times SU(3)_{D_R}$$

with

$$Q_L = \begin{pmatrix} U_L \\ D_L \end{pmatrix} \sim (3, 1, 1) \quad U_R \sim (1, 3, 1) \quad D_R \sim (1, 1, 3)$$

- G_F is *explicitly* broken by the Yukawa couplings

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = \bar{Q}_L H Y_D D_R + \bar{Q}_L \tilde{H} Y_U U_R$$

- Diagonalization of the Yukawa Couplings

$$Y_D^{\text{diag}} = V_{DL}^\dagger Y_D V_{DR} \quad Y_U^{\text{diag}} = V_{UL}^\dagger Y_U V_{UR}$$

- Leads after Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking to diagonal Mass Matrices for the Quarks
- Note that $V_{UR} \in SU(3)_{UR}$ and $V_{DR} \in SU(3)_{DR}$
- ... but both V_{UL} and V_{DL} should be $\in SU(3)_{QL}$
- this leads to a relative and observable mismatch and

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = V_{UL}^\dagger V_{DL}$$

- Using mass eigenstates, V_{CKM} appears as the matrix of charged current couplings.

Spurions

- Trick to parametrize explicit symmetry breaking:
Introduce “Spurions”
- Spurion: Field with a well defined transformation under the symmetry to be explicitly broken.
- Write all terms that are allowed by the symmetry with a finite number of insertions of the spurion field(s)
- “Freeze” the spurion field(s) to a nonzero value: “vacuum expectation value”
- Explicit Symmetry breaking = Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking without the Higgs degrees of freedom
- Small symmetry breaking: Power counting for the spurion insertions is needed.

Yukawa Couplings as Spurions

- Interpret the Yukawa couplings as spurion fields transforming as

$$Y_U \sim (3, \bar{3}, 1) \quad Y_D \sim (3, 1, \bar{3})$$

- In this way the Yukawa terms become formally invariant under G_F
- “Freezing” the Yukawa couplings to the observed values breaks G_F explicitly.
- **Minimal Flavour Violation:** The two spurions Y_U and Y_D are the only sources of flavour violation.

Example $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ in MFV

- The $b \rightarrow s \gamma$ decay is a $D_R \rightarrow D_L$ transition.
- $\bar{Q}_L D_R$ is not invariant under G_F
- $\bar{Q}_L Y_D D_R \rightarrow \bar{D}_L m_d^{\text{diag}} D_R$ is flavour diagonal.
- $\bar{Q}_L Y_U Y_U^\dagger Y_D D_R \rightarrow \bar{D}_L V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger (m_u^{\text{diag}})^2 V_{\text{CKM}} m_d^{\text{diag}} D_R$
 minimal number of spurions for a flavour transition.
- Leading term in $b \rightarrow s \gamma$: $\bar{s}_L V_{ts}^* V_{tb} m_t^2 m_b b_R$
- Right handed helicities suppressed by a power of the quark mass
- FCNC require at least two CKM matrix elements, at least one of which is off diagonal
- GIM: no FCNC's in case of degenerate quark masses

Flavour Symmetry: Leptons

- “Minimal field content” (no right handed neutrino)

$$E_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} \quad E_R = e_R$$

- Smaller flavour group for the leptons

$$\tilde{G}_F = SU(3)_{E_L} \times SU(3)_{E_R}$$

- Transformations under \tilde{G}_F :
 $E_L \sim (3, 1)$ and $E_R \sim (1, 3)$
- Yukawa term for the leptons

$$L_{\text{Yuk}} = \bar{E}_L H Y_E E_R$$

- Y_E can be diagonalized by a \tilde{G}_F transformation
 No flavour mixing for leptons !

Lepton Flavour Violation: Higher Dimensional Operators

- Dim-5 operator leading to a ν Majorana Mass Term

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Maj}} = \frac{1}{2\Lambda_{\text{LN}}} (N^T g N)$$

$$\text{with } N = \left(T_3^{(R)} + \frac{1}{2} \right) H^\dagger L$$

$$\text{and } H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \nu + h_0 + i\chi_0 & \sqrt{2}\phi_+ \\ -\sqrt{2}\phi_- & \nu + h_0 - i\chi_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Λ_{LN} : Scale of lepton number violation
- g : New Spurion field transforming as $(\bar{6}, 1)$ under \tilde{G}_F
- Y_E, g can (in general) not be diagonal simultaneously

New Physics in MFV: Quarks

- Generic point of view: Consider the Standard model as an effective theory, valid at the electroweak scale
- “New Physics” enters below M_W through power suppressed operators with dimensions ≥ 6
- Assume that Y_U , Y_D (and Y_E) are still the only spurions explicitly breaking flavour
- The flavour transitions of the new-physics contributions are still suppressed by the same CKM factors and masses as in the Standard Model
- Focus first on quarks ...

Power Counting and Wolfenstein Parametrization

- Power Counting \sim “small” symmetry breaking
- Implemented by the Wolfenstein parametrization

$$V_{\text{CKM}} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda & \lambda^3 \\ \lambda & 1 & \lambda^2 \\ \lambda^3 & \lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \lambda \sim 0.2$$

- Quark Masses (except top) are small compared to the electroweak scale
- **Additional spurion insertions yields more suppression** (except for $t \rightarrow b$ transitions, flavour diagonal)
- **Consider only minimal number of spurion insertions**
 - Up to four insertions for right \rightarrow right transitions

Effective Field Theory Picture of New Physics

- List the various quark transitions:

	U_L	U_R	D_L	D_R
\bar{U}_L	$V_{UL}^\dagger Y_D Y_D^\dagger V_{UL}$ $= V_{CKM}^\dagger \hat{m}_D^2 V_{CKM}$	$V_{UL}^\dagger Y_D Y_D^\dagger Y_U V_{UR}$ $= V_{CKM}^\dagger \hat{m}_D^2 V_{CKM}^\dagger \hat{m}_U$	$V_{UL}^\dagger V_{dL}$ $= V_{CKM}$	$V_{UL}^\dagger Y_D V_{dR}$ $= V_{CKM}^\dagger \hat{m}_D V_{CKM}^\dagger$
\bar{U}_R	h.c.	$V_{UR}^\dagger Y_U^\dagger Y_D Y_D^\dagger Y_U V_{UR}$ $= \hat{m}_U V_{CKM}^\dagger \hat{m}_D^2 V_{CKM}^\dagger \hat{m}_U$	$V_{UR}^\dagger Y_U^\dagger V_{dL}$ $= \hat{m}_U V_{CKM}$	$V_{UR}^\dagger Y_U^\dagger Y_D V_{dR}$ $= \hat{m}_U V_{CKM}^\dagger \hat{m}_D V_{CKM}^\dagger$
\bar{D}_L	h.c.	h.c.	$V_{dL}^\dagger Y_U Y_U^\dagger V_{dL}$ $= V_{CKM}^\dagger \hat{m}_U^2 V_{CKM}$	$V_{dL}^\dagger Y_U Y_U^\dagger Y_D V_{dR}$ $= V_{CKM}^\dagger \hat{m}_U^2 V_{CKM}^\dagger \hat{m}_D$
\bar{D}_R	h.c.	h.c.	h.c.	$V_{dR}^\dagger Y_D^\dagger Y_U Y_U^\dagger Y_D V_{dR}$ $= \hat{m}_D V_{CKM}^\dagger \hat{m}_U^2 V_{CKM}^\dagger \hat{m}_D$

- Loops may change the number of insertions:
 Suppressed by powers of Wolfenstein λ

New Physics in MFV: Leptons

- Majorana term is a “new physics” contribution
- Distinguish between the scale of lepton flavour violation and lepton number violation
- For dim-6 operators: Possible Spurion combinations

$$g^\dagger \times g \sim \bar{6} \times 6 = 1 + 8 + 27$$

- Bilinears (e.g. $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$) are governed by $\Delta = (g^\dagger \times g)_8$
 → predicts e.g. relations between $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ and $\tau \rightarrow e\gamma$
- Four fermion operators for e.g. $\tau \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu$ can have a contribution of the 27-plet
- Even in MFV no relation between $\tau \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\tau \rightarrow e\mu\mu$

Simple Examples

- How to get an Idea about the mass matrices:
 - Guess some matrices with as few parameters as possible:
“Textures” as many zeros as possible
 - Use some symmetry to obtain (at least qualitatively) some insight into mass matrices
e.g. a simple horizontal $U(1)$

Textures: Two Family Example

- Find two matrices \mathcal{M}_u and \mathcal{M}_d with less than five parameters
- \rightarrow **Relation(s) between m_u, m_c, m_d, m_s and Θ_C**
 Simplest guess: Diagonal \mathcal{M}_u and nondiagonal \mathcal{M}_d

$$\mathcal{M}_u = \begin{pmatrix} m_u & 0 \\ 0 & m_c \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{M}_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ a & 2b \end{pmatrix}$$

- Matrix diagonalizing \mathcal{M}_d is already the CKM Matrix
- **Four Parameters \rightarrow One relation !**

- Model predicts $\tan \Theta_C = \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}}$ (which is not bad!)

- Has been done also for three families
- Guesses often supported by assuming (discrete) symmetries
- **Typical structure:** $\tan \theta_{ij} \sim \sqrt{m_i/m_j}$
- **Remains Guesswork**, until some deeper understanding of the guesses emerges.

Horizontal $U(1)_H$: Models of the Froggatt-Nielsen type

- Horizontal $U(1)$: The quarks of the different families have different quantum numbers:

$$Q_L \rightarrow \exp(iT_L \phi) Q_L \quad U_R \rightarrow \exp(iT_U \phi) D_R \quad D_R \rightarrow \exp(iT_d \phi) D_R$$

and

$$T_L = \begin{pmatrix} t_L^{(1)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_L^{(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t_L^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \quad T_U = \begin{pmatrix} t_U^{(1)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_U^{(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t_U^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \quad T_d = \begin{pmatrix} t_d^{(1)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_d^{(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t_d^{(3)} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Introduce a scalar S breaking $U(1)_H$ by its VEV:

$$S \rightarrow \exp(-i\phi) \quad S: \text{Singlet under all other symmetries}$$

- Yukawa couplings are interpreted as non-renormalizable terms
- Have to be invariant under $U(1)_H$
- \rightarrow A appropriate power of S has to compensate the mismatch in $U(1)_H$ quantum numbers between left and right handed fields:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk}}^{\text{nr}} = \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda^{n_{AB}}} \bar{Q}_A \lambda_{u,AB} S^{n_{AB}} \Phi_u U_B + \frac{1}{\Lambda^{m_{AB}}} \bar{Q}_A \lambda_{d,AB} S^{m_{AB}} \Phi_d D_B \right) \text{ with}$$

$$n_{AB} = t_L^{(A)} - t_u^{(B)}, \quad m_{AB} = t_L^{(A)} - t_d^{(B)}.$$

- Λ some scale of new physics inducing flavour
- Electroweak and $U(1)_H$ SSB yields $\langle S \rangle = \epsilon \Lambda$ and $\langle \Phi_u \rangle \sim \langle \Phi_d \rangle \sim v$
- order of magnitude estimate for the mass matrices

$$\mathcal{L}_{Mass} = v \left(\bar{Q}_A \epsilon^{n_{AB}} U_B + \bar{Q}_A \epsilon^{m_{AB}} D_B \right) ,$$

$$|V_{us}| \sim \epsilon^{t_L^{(2)} - t_L^{(1)}} , \quad |V_{cb}| \sim \epsilon^{t_L^{(3)} - t_L^{(2)}} , \quad |V_{ub}| \sim \epsilon^{t_L^{(3)} - t_L^{(1)}}$$

$$|V_{ub}| \sim |V_{cb}| |V_{us}|$$

$$\frac{m_d^{(A)}}{m_d^{(B)}} \sim \epsilon^{t_L^{(A)} - t_L^{(B)} + t_d^{(A)} - t_d^{(B)}} , \quad \frac{m_u^{(A)}}{m_u^{(B)}} \sim \epsilon^{t_L^{(A)} - t_L^{(B)} + t_u^{(A)} - t_u^{(B)}}$$

- This remains qualitative ...
- The simplest model has no reasonable phenomenology
- **What happens to the goldstone boson of $U(1)_H$?**
- Gauged $U(1)_H$ symmetry?
- How do we get CP violation in this framework?
- ...

Conclusions

- Near Future: **Test the CKM Picture of Flavour Mixing**
- **Are there small deviations from CKM?**
- Lepton Flavour Physics and Lepton number violation
e.g. $\tau \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu$ at LHC
- **Origin of Flavour: Horizontal Symmetries ?**
- \rightarrow at which scale?
- **LHC in combination with (Super)-B Factories will possibly answer a few of these questions ...**